SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2015-013746   01/06/2017

                                          CLERK OF THE COURT
HONORABLE LORI HORN BUSTAMANTE   T. Nosker
                                              Deputy

JOSEPH MOMOT, et al.   KRYSTLE DELGADO

v.

SILKWORTH MANOR L L C, et al.

                                        ADAM E HAUF
                                        TIMOTHY M COLLIER
                                        GREGORY P GILLIS
                                        JOHN MULLIGAN
                                        5701 E CACTUS RD
                                        SCOTTSDALE AZ 85254

                        MINUTE ENTRY

        Courtroom ECB 811

        11:07 a.m.   This is the time set for Telephonic Status Conference.   Plaintiffs are
represented by counsel, Krystle Delgado and Timothy M. Collier.   Defendants, Silkworth
Institute DO, LLC and Scottsdale Detox Center of Arizona, LLC, are represented by counsel,
Gregory P. Gillis. Defendants, Silkworth Institute XXV, LLC and Sober Properties LLC, were
previously represented by counsel, Adam E. Hauf. Defendant, John Mulligan, is present on his
own behalf.

        A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

        Discussion is held regarding case status and pending motions.

CV 2015-013746   01/06/2017

IT IS ORDERED vacating the Pretrial Management Conference set for January 13, 2017 at 2:00 p.m. and resetting same to **April 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.**

Further discussion is held regarding case status and pending motions.

IT IS ORDERED that if no Notice of Appearance is filed on or before **January 20, 2017** the court will enter default judgment against all corporate entities that are unrepresented and the Answer will be struck as to any corporate entity that is unrepresented.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming the Oral Argument set for **January 27, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.**

11:34 a.m. Matter concludes.

LATER:

The court has reviewed and considered Defendant's Motion to Represent Self and Dismiss Case and Plaintiff's Response. No Reply was filed and   it is now beyond the time to file a Reply.

IT IS ORDERED affirming the court's earlier ruling. John Mulligan may represent himself as an individual but all corporate defendants must be represented by a licensed attorney.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.